

Message

---

**From:** Gift, Jeff [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=746B029CD80E437D9F62708C339A9EC8-GIFT, JEFF]  
**Sent:** 9/18/2013 7:02:06 PM  
**To:** Kathy Burns [kmb@sciencecorps.org]  
**CC:** Olden, Kenneth [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8979224c77ea4d559f70cab1688f28aa-Olden, Kenneth]; Cogliano, Vincent [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=51f2736376ac4d32bad2fe7cfef2886b-Cogliano, Vincent]; Mccarthy, Gina [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=51ee957b10cb49a0b2ff98174ae44a46-Mccarthy, Regina]; Paulson, Glenn [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=86a3df55998a4f2ea36893a7a1cce9e1-Paulson, Glenn]; Caldwell, Jane [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7a8f79de73e34dbaba09a77eae794461-Caldwell, Jane]; Jinot, Jennifer [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d0edf66a00054afe84f4ab5c5119562f-Jinot, Jennifer]; Cote, Ila [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8451c70416dc4c899ae0873b153fd592-Cote, Ila]; Evans, Marina [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=339daf7a06044d35a078b58afcdbf3bb-Evans, Marina]; Vandenberg, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=dcae2b98a04540fb8d099f9d4dead690-Vandenberg, John]; Deener, Kathleen [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b9a2ff1c086249ea8f6414afde8a5e54-Deener, Kathleen]  
**Subject:** RE: EHP paper on scientific considerations for Ramazzini studies

Dear Dr. Burns,

We greatly appreciate your encouraging e-mail regarding our Ramazzini Institute article. I understand that you are interested in additional documentation related to our EHP publication. All of the related documentation for our article is cited in the reference section of the paper. As you may know, the article cites a link to the EPA HERO database project page for all of the references in the article (<http://hero.epa.gov/ramazzini>). The HERO pages for the references contain bibliographic information and, in some cases, links to the actual article. Unfortunately, this HERO link was not working at the time of publication, but has been fixed as of this afternoon. Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance.

Sincere regards,

Jeff Gift, Ph.D.  
National Center for Environmental Assessment  
EPA (B243-01)  
RTP, NC 27711  
919-541-4828  
919-541-0245 (fax)  
[gift.jeff@epa.gov](mailto:gift.jeff@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Kathy Burns [mailto:kmb@sciencecorps.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 12:41 PM  
**To:** Gift, Jeff; Caldwell, Jane; Jinot, Jennifer; Cote, Ila; Evans, Marina; Vandenberg, John  
**Cc:** Olden, Kenneth; Cogliano, Vincent; Mccarthy, Gina; Paulson, Glenn  
**Subject:** EHP paper on scientific considerations for Ramazzini studies

Dear Authors,

The scientific community learned yesterday of your EHP-published article on scientific considerations for the Ramazzini chemical toxicology studies. Thank you so much for providing this important paper. It relies on clearly articulated objective criteria to evaluate key issues in the Ramazzini laboratory's methods and results. It contains a careful, systematic and straightforward discussion of the basis for evaluations. This is very important for all of us who work on chemical carcinogens because it not only provides essential information regarding Ramazzini, but also lays out basic criteria based on well-established science that should be used to evaluate cancer bioassays.

I circulated your paper to a number of my colleagues in the medical and scientific professions. They have also expressed enthusiasm about this paper (e.g., Dr. Phil Landrigan said "very important"). We all realize the complexity of the situation you face in assessing scientific credibility and the challenges involved in simply telling the scientific truth in our current difficult political climate. I and others appreciate the scientific skills and courage you demonstrated in seeing this through and making it available to the public health community.

We need accurate science more than ever at this time. The high quality work in this paper is an example of the best we hope to receive from the Environmental Protection Agency.

Regards,  
Kathleen Burns, Ph.D.

Director  
Sciencecorps  
Lexington, MA